arXiv:quant-ph/0112057v1 10 Dec 2001

Quantum computation with trapped ions in strongly detuned optical cavity.

XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis

Institute TET, University of Hannover,
Appelstr. 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

We propose a scheme to implement quantum phase gate for two Λ ions trapped in optical cavity. It is shown that quantum phase gate can be implemented by applying a laser addressing to a single ions in strongly detuned optical cavity. We further demonstrate that geometric quantum phase gate can be implemented by introducing a auxiliary ground state.

PACS number(s): 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk.

In recent years, it is realized that quantum computer can provide more powerful computational ability than a classical one[1, 2]. This discovery motivated intensive research into apparatus which can be used to perform quantum logic operation on single or multiple qubits. To bulid a real quantum computer, we need to find quantum system, which have little decoherence and easy way for coherent operation. Several possible physical implementation have been suggested, including the Cavity QED[3], trapped ion system[4] and NMR system[5] and solid state system using nuclear spins[6], quantum dots[7] and Josephson Junction[8]. The ion trap quantum computation, first proposed by Cirac and Zoller[9], and demonstrated experimentally shortly afterward[10], is a promising candidate for realizing a small scale of quantum computation. They offer the possibility in principle to store string of ions, cool them almost to zero temperature and address them individually with laser field. The original idea of Cirac and Zoller uses the collective spatial vibrations for communication between ions, and it requires that the system is restricted to the joint motional ground state of the ions. For two ions, this has recently been accomplished[11]]. Any heating (i.e. excitation by external fields) will diminish the accuracy of a logic gate, thus leading to unreliable performance of the quantum computer as a whole, and may be making the implement of even simple algorithms impossible. Eliminating all of the possible cause of heating is a very demanding task. Several schemes[12, 13, 14, 15, 16] have been proposed to address the problem. Recently approaches have been proposed which employs ions trapped in an optical cavity. It has been shown that cavity decay can be used to create entanglement implement quantum computation between ions in cavity[17]. More recently, a scheme is proposed to implement quantum information processing with ions trapped in strongly detuned optical cavity[18]. All these scheme require that laser fields addressing different ions was will matched in amplitude and phase. In this paper, we propose

a scheme to implement quantum logic gate (quantum phase gate) for ions trapped in strongly detuned optical cavity by using only laser field addressing a single ion.
We first consider two Lambda-ions trapped in a cavity. It is assumed that ions have the lower state $|0>$ and $|1>$, which can be represented by different hyperfine level or zeeman levels, and an excited state $|3>$ coupled individually to each ground state by laser radiation with different polarization or frequencies. The atom interact with each other via the common cavity radiation field. Here we assume the ions are separated by at least one optical wavelength in order to manipulate each ions by using laser field individually and the optical cavity couple the states $|0>$ and $|3>$ of each ions. A laser is applied to derive ion1 between state states $|1>$ and $|3>$ with amplitude Ω. The Hamiltonian describing the combined cavity-ion system can be written as

$$H = \omega_0(\sigma_{00}^1 + \sigma_{00}^2) + \omega_3(\sigma_{33}^1 + \sigma_{33}^2) + \omega_c a^\dagger a$$

$$+ g a^\dagger(\sigma_{03}^1 + \sigma_{03}^2) + g a(\sigma_{30}^1 + \sigma_{30}^2) + \Omega(\sigma_{31}^1 + \sigma_{13}^1)$$

where $\sigma_{ij}^k = |i>_{k\ k}<j|$. g are cavity-ion coupling constant for two ions which is assumed to be equal. a and $a^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operator for the cavity photons. The cavity field suffers irreversible losses on account of leakage out of the cavity described by the Liouvillan

$$\Lambda_f \rho = k(2a\rho a^\dagger - a^\dagger a\rho - \rho a^\dagger a)$$

where $2k$ is the rate of the loss of the photon. The dissipation due to atomic spontaneous emission is given by

$$\Lambda_a \rho = \tau(2\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{03}^i \rho \sigma_{30}^i - \sigma_{30}^i \sigma_{03}^i \rho - \rho \sigma_{30}^i \sigma_{03}^i)$$

$$+\tau(2\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{13}^i \rho \sigma_{31}^i - \sigma_{31}^i \sigma_{13}^i \rho - \rho \sigma_{31}^i \sigma_{13}^i)$$

where τ is the rate of the atomic spontaneous emission. The evolution of the system is given by

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i[H, \rho] + \Lambda_f \rho + \Lambda_a \rho$$

We consider the case of large detuning $\Delta = \omega_c - \omega_3 + \omega_0$, i.e. $\Delta >> g$. In this case, there is no energy exchange between atom system and the cavity and we obtain effective evolution of the system for initially vacuum cavity field (in the interaction picture)[19]

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i[\Omega(\sigma_{31}^1 + \sigma_{13}^1), \rho] + \frac{g^2}{k^2 + \Delta^2}\{-i\Delta[(\sigma_{33}^1 + \sigma_{33}^2 + \sigma_{30}^1\sigma_{03}^2 + \sigma_{03}^1\sigma_{30}^2)), \rho]$$

$$+k[2(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{03}^i)\rho(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{30}^i) - (\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{30}^i)(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{03}^i)\rho$$

$$-\rho(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{30}^i)(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_{03}^i))\} + \Lambda_a \rho$$

If the condition $\Delta >> k$ and $\frac{g^2}{\Delta} >> \tau$ are satisfied, then the contribution due to damping in Eq.() is negligibly small and it reduce to

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{-ig^2\Delta}{k^2+\Delta^2}\Delta[(\sigma^1_{33}+\sigma^2_{33}+\sigma^1_{30}\sigma^2_{03}+\sigma^1_{03}\sigma^2_{30})),\rho] - i[\Omega(\sigma^1_{31}+\sigma^1_{13}),\rho]$$

which shows that in a cavity, highly detuned from the atomic transition frequency, the evolution of the system is given by the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{eff} = \frac{g^2}{\Delta}[(\sigma^1_{33}+\sigma^2_{33}+\sigma^1_{30}\sigma^2_{03}+\sigma^1_{03}\sigma^2_{30})] + \Omega(\sigma^1_{31}+\sigma^1_{13})$$

In the bases $\{|00>, |01>, |10>, |11>, |31>, |13>, |33>, |\phi_+>, |\phi_->\}$, where $|\phi_\pm >= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|30> \pm|03>)$, the effective Hamiltonian can be rewritten in the form

$$H_{eff} = \frac{g^2}{\Delta}(2|33><33| + 2|\phi_+><\phi_+| + |31><31| + |13><13|)$$

$$+\Omega(|33><13| + |31><11| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\phi_+><10| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\phi_-><10|)$$

If the condition $\frac{g^2}{\Delta}, >> \Omega$ is satisfied, we can obtain the effective Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_-><10| + |10><\psi_-|)$$

which can be used to implement quantum phase gate at the time $t = \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{\Omega}$

$$|00>\longrightarrow |00>; |01>\longrightarrow |01>$$

$$|10>\longrightarrow -|00>; |11>\longrightarrow |11>$$

This quantum phase gate can be used to implement universal quantum computation by combining single qubit quantum operation.
We further show that geometric conditional phase gate[20] can be implemented by introducing a auxiliary ground state. We assume the vacuum field is tuned along the $0-3$ transtion with equal atom-cavity coupling g for two ions. Consider a laser tuned between an auxiliary ground state $|2>$ and $|3>$ of ion 1 with amplitude Ω_2 and a second laser tuned between $|1>$ and $|3>$ of ion 1 with amplitude Ω_1. If the condition $\Delta >> g$ and $\frac{g^2}{\Delta}, >> |\Omega_1|, |\Omega_2|$ is satisfied, we can obtain thee effective Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Omega_2|\psi_1><20| + \Omega_1|\psi_1><10| + h.c.)$$

To get geometric operation, we set $|\Omega_1|/|\Omega_2| = \tan(\theta/2)$ and with phase difference $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ with the control parameters θ, φ undergoing a cyclic adiabatic evolution on the (θ, φ) plane described by a loop C from $\theta = 0$. During the evolution, the state $|00>, |01>, |11>$ are decoupled from Hamiltonian(), while the state $|10>$ adiabatically follows as $\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|10> + \sin\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\varphi}|20>$, which acquire a geometric phase $e^{i\varphi_{Berry}}|10>$, where $\varphi_{Berry} = \int \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi$ and the integration runs over the surface the

loop C enclose.
In summary, we have presented scheme to implement the two qubit conditional phase gate for ion trapped in a far detuned optical cavity. Together with single qubit rotation they consist a universal set of gates. The cavity decay is effective suppressed by the large detuning between the cavity field and ion. We also condition where atomic sponaneous emission is negligible. In the Ref[18], two lasers are applied to derive two ions, which require suitable amplitude and phases. Here we show it is enough to implement quantum phase gate by using a laser field to derive one of the ions. We further demonstrate that geometric quantum phase gate can be implemented by introducing a auxiliary ground state.

References

[1] P. Shor in Proceeding of the 35th Annual symposium on the foundation of Computer Science (IEEE Comp. Soc. Press, Los Alomitos, CA, 1994) 124-134

[2] Lov K. Grover Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4329 (1998)

[3] Q. A. Turchette, C. J. Hood, W. Lange, H. Mabuchi and H. J. Kimble Phys. Rev. Lett. 75(1995)4710

[4] C. Monroe, D. M. Meekhof, B. E. King, W.M. Itano and D. J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75(1995)4714

[5] I. Chuang, N. Gershenfeld and M. Kubinec Phys. Rev. Lett. 80(1998)3408.

[6] B. E. Kane, nature 393(1998)133

[7] D. Loss and D. P. Divincenzo, Phys. Rev. A. 57(1998)120

[8] Y. Makhlin and G. Schon, nature 398(1999)305

[9] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74(1995)4091

[10] C. Monroe et al., Phys. Rev.A 55(1997)R2489

[11] B. E. King et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett.81(1998)1525

[12] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller Phys. Rev. Lett. 81(1998) 1322

[13] A. Sorensen and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82(1999)1971.

[14] S. Schneider, D. F. V. James and G. J. Milburn, quant-ph/9808012

[15] G. J. Milburn, quant-ph/9980037

[16] D. F. V. James, quant-ph/0003122

[17] M. B. Plenio et al., Phys. Rev. A 59, 2468 (1999);Almut Beige et al., Phys. Rev. A 62, 052102 (2000);Almut Beige et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1762 (2000);S. Bose et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5158 (1999),Jiannis Pachos, Herbert Walther, quant-ph/0111088

[18] E. Jane, M.B. Plenio, D. Jonathan,quant-ph/0111147.

[19] G. S. Agarwal , R. R. Puri, and R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. A 56, 2249 (1997).

[20] P.Zanardi and M. Rasetti, Phys. Lett.A264,94(1999); J. Pachos, P.Zanardi and M. Rasetti, Phys. Rev.A61,010305(2000);L. M. Duan, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Science 292, 1695(2001).